

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

PATENT PC7250MEB

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF:

EXAMINER:

DOUGLAS J. M. ALLEN ET AL.

SERIAL NO.: 07/449,961

ART UNIT: 183

FILED: DECEMBER 11, 1989

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being

FOR: AZITHROMYCIN DIHYBRATE

deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class mail

Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks in an envelope addressed to Washington, D.C. 20231

Trademarks, Washington, D.G. 20231, on this _____day of

sir:

19 92 Pares DECLARATION UNDER 37 C.F.R.

I, George A. Forcier, declare that:

- I received a Ph.D. degree in Analytical Chemistry from the University of Massachusetts in 1966.
- I have been employed by Pfizer Inc, the assignee of the above-identified application since 1966. position is that of Group Director, Analytical Research and Development Department. Part of my responsibility is the supervision and direction of analytical procedures performed on experimental pharmaceuticals, including azithromycin.
- I am familiar with the subject matter of the aboveidentified application.
- I am familiar with the impact which the physical and chemical properties of experimental drugs have on the commercial potential of the product.
- Hygroscopicity tests on azithromycin dihydrate (Type 'A) and azithromycin "monohydrate" (Type B) were performed under my direction and supervision. Azithromycin "monohydrate" is a crystalline solid that exists as a nonstoichiometric hydrate because of its hygroscopic nature. The dihydrate (Type A) is a discrete crystalline compound.
- Example 1, p. 7 of the above-identified application correctly describes the hygroscopic behavior of azithromycin dihydrate at relative humidity of 18%, 33%, 75% and 100%. This experiment was done under my direction and supervision.
- Preparation 1, p. 9 of the above-identified application correctly describes the hygroscopic behavior of

azithromycin monohydrate at relative humidities of 18%, 33%, 75% and 100%. This experiment was done under my direction and supervision.

-2-

- 8. The significance of Example 1 and Preparation 1 lies in the fact that azithromycin dihydrate maintained the constant water content of the dihydrate (4.6%) at relative humidities of 33% and 75% over a 3 day period. In contrast, the monohydrate increased water content from the theoretical value of 2.6% to 6.6% at 75% relative humidity and 5.6% at 33% relative humidity.
 - hygroscopicity of azithromycin dihydrate and monohydrate was conducted under my supervision and direction. Two lots of monohydrate were compared with two lots of dihydrate at 75t relative humidity for 120 hours. The monohydrate was found to gain about six times more water than the dihydrate as shown in the table below.

	አጥ 758	PICITY OF AZITE RELATIVE HUM eight Gain (%)	TOTII .	
Time	Monohydrate	Dihydrate* Lot 76-1	Dihydrate Lot 274-1	Monohydrate Lot 82-I
(hour)	lot 209-1F	0.00	0.00	0.00
0	0.00	- 0.21	0.21	1.58
2	0.94		0.35	1.72
	1.04	- 0.20		1.86
24 .	1.28	- 0.11	0.39	1.81
48	1.26	- 0.06	0.34	
	1.25	+ 0.06	0.34	1.81
70 120	1.13	- 0.20	0.19	1.69

*The weight loss is believed to be due to mechanical loss of very fine powder of this sample when the weighing bottles were opened and closed.

10. Lack of hygroscopicity is an important advantage in a pharmaceutical product. Hygroscopic azithromycin (Type B) has poor handling properties, such as poor flowability and adhesiveness to equipment surfaces, and is susceptible to

BEST AVAILABLE CUPY

_7-

water content changes during processing and storage at ambient conditions. Accretion of even small amounts of water often makes formulation difficult or impossible because of these poor handling properties and an inability to place a constant amount of active ingredient in each tablet or capsule.

- 11. The lack of hygroscopicity of azithromycin dihydrate was an important consideration in selecting this material for commercialization.
- of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

pate: March 19, 1992

Forge A. Forcier

Actal/lastic map